



Village Hall, 262-567-2757
Fax, 262-567-4115
Public Works Dept., 262-567-2422
Police Dept., 262-567-1134
Building Inspector, 262-490-4141
www.villageofsummitwi.gov

Summit Village Hall • 37100 Delafield Road • Summit, WI 53066

MINUTES
Village Board Meeting
May 14, 2026

CALL TO ORDER

President Riley called to order the Village Board Meeting at 6:00 pm on Thursday, May 14, 2026 at the Summit Village Hall, 37100 Delafield Road, Summit, WI.

ROLL CALL AND CONFIRM POSTING

Administrator Michael confirmed that the meeting was noticed to the local media as required and requested and posted on the Village posting board and website. Trustees present were: Hethe Henrickson, Justin Phillips, Lisa Mellone and Kraig Arenz, Sr. Also, present were: President Riley, Public Works Director Kamron Nash, Police Chief Brian Wraalstad, and Administrator-Clerk/Treasurer Debbie Michael.

INTRODUCTION & SWEARING IN FOR POLICE DEPARTMENT PROMOTIONS: Chief Brian Wraalstad, Lieutenant Ryan Lipovsek, Sergeant Justin Hennlich, and Patrol Detective Abby Owens

Sean Osborne, President of the Police Commission introduced the new Police Chief, Brian Wraalstad and Clerk Michael swore him in.

Chief Wraalstad introduced Lieutenant Lipovsek and Sergeant Hennlich and Clerk Michael swore them in. He announced that Office Owens was not able to attend tonight so he will introduce her at the June meeting.

INTRODUCTION & SWEARING IN FOR POLICE DEPARTMENT NEW HIRE: Sonia Schneider

Chief Wraalstad introduced Sunny and Administrator-Clerk/Treasurer Michael swore her in.

INTRODUCTION & SWEARING IN FOR VILLAGE TRUSTEES: Lisa Mellone and Hethe Henrickson

Administrator-Clerk/Treasurer Michael swore in the two newly elected trustees.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION – Trustee Lee

President Riley read aloud the Special Resolution prepared for recognition of Trustee Lee's service on the Village Board.

PUBLIC COMMENT - limited to 3 minutes total per person

Sean Osborne, CEO of Silver Circle Sports Events. Stated their nonprofit charity is Silver Circle Foundation, whose mission is to improve the lives of cops, firefighters, and fellow wounded veterans. 17 years ago, his father had Alzheimer's, and they bought the house across the street from him and his wife so his dad could be close, his dad would fall down all the time. They'd call 911 and these great folks would show up. Back then, it was a volunteer fire department. So, they created a foundation, and have been raising money ever since. They've donated well over \$100,000 to the Village of Summit Police Department and Western Lakes Fire Department. This year they held the annual Timothy Gahagan Memorial golf outing on Silver Lake, and is proud to say they raised another \$10,000. \$5,000 for the Village of Summit PD, and \$5,000 for Western Lake Fire Department, and this money can only be used to improve the lives of cops and firefighters.

Pete Holmes, 237 Shore Circle, City of Oconomowoc, stated he thinks what he is going to present is important because he likes to be at the forefront of bringing problems to people's minds, and he was here a year ago. He will keep pushing this need for an on-ramp and off-ramp at Golden Lake Road, and thinks it's evident to the people who live on Valley Road that this is a problem that has one fix, and that one fixes Golden Lake Road. Now, Mr. Holmes showed a map he created. It showed Interstate 94 at the very top, Golden Lake Road and the problem being is that people who use Valley Road are finally getting tired of all of the traffic. The first band aid that appeared in this community was the stop signs at Silver Lake and Valley Road which is only a band aid, anyone who thinks otherwise is kind of kidding themselves. He has written to D O T, and has not gotten a response. With regards to putting an eastbound on ramp and a westbound off ramp only at Golden Lake Road, Representative Allen at one time said the D O T thought about expanding Willow Glen Road. No one's going to drive five miles out of their way to go eastbound on 94 and westbound to get off if they live at Arrowwood, Hickory Wood, River Line, Morgan Station, those subdivisions have 650 homes on them between Morgan Station, River Line, Hickory Wood, and Arrowwood, not counting any subdivisions north of the river off of Concord. So that's roughly 1,375 cars that at any point in time will use Valley Road to go to the store to get to Highway 67 whatever the case may be. If you take Golden Lake Road to the on ramp that he proposed, it's one mile 63 seconds. If you take that intersection and go east on Valley to get the 67 it's six minutes and 50 seconds, six and a half miles. Now, this big idea about expanding Oconomowoc Parkway is kind of a farce, because people who will then go down Valley to go to Oconomowoc Parkway to get to 67 to get down the expressway, that's now 7.4 miles and seven minutes and 21 seconds. No one will do it. It's a waste of time, and it's a waste of money in this particular community. He brought this up to his alderpersons, Kevin Ellis and Kloth. Alderman Kevin Ellis, told him that that's a five to seven year window. Anyone on this board who's gotten a phone call from anybody on Valley Road will find that unacceptable. That is just not prudent. It has to happen sooner rather than later. He thinks the residents on Valley Road, they're already dealing with those traffic issues, and by putting those stop signs at Valley and Silver Lake, that should be a big red light. He is asking that this board get together with the City of Oconomowoc and make this happen.

Jim Recknagel, 3197 N Dekoven Road, and Lower Nashotah Lake Association President, stated he has some understanding of work behind the scenes and complaints outweighing appreciation and so from that perspective he wanted to say thanks for the work on this issue. He cited some numbers, from the DNR: two tenths of 1% is the percentage of wake boats of the total motorized boats that are registered in the state of Wisconsin, not 2%, two tenths of 1% .10% is the percentage of Lower Nashotah Lake homeowners who happen to own a wake boat. They've been at four, they've been at five, seems to be sort

of oscillating between those two numbers. 73% is the percentage of Lower Nashotah Lake homeowners who support a wake surf ban for that lake. A couple more numbers: 700 feet from shore and 30 feet is the Last Wilderness Alliance recommendation in terms of limiting where wake boats should operate. Green Fire, which is another Wisconsin-based, another Wisconsin-based organization indicates 600 feet from shore and 20 feet are the minimum numbers that are required to protect, in particular, glacial lakes. All that, and despite the proposed ordinance being voted against in 2025 and that was ignored this year, the board put forth an ordinance. It could be a good ordinance if proposed with what President Riley asked for in the meeting a month ago. He stated he sat in the front row because he really wanted to make sure he heard things correctly, but what the board asked the village attorney was a fill in the blanks ordinance for depth and distance. His takeaway from that is that if you are having an ordinance that you can maybe change and adjust for the fact that there's 16 lakes in the village, very logical, good approach. What we were presented with when the packet went out on Friday doesn't reference anything about fill in the blanks, and so I have to ask a question: Is that what is proposed? President Riley, did you get back from the village attorney what you asked for? President Riley stated he is not allowed to respond directly. Mr. Recknagel responded that their decisions are disconnected from the reality of what people come and talk about.

Jim Kueht, 510 S Golden Lake Lane, lived there for 30 years. Read document provided to the Clerk.

Sandy Casterline, 3215 N Dekoven, and Secretary for Lower Nashotah Lake Association, thanked board and information brought forward by Jim. Lower Nashotah Lake residents came before the board requesting a solution to the safety and lake concerns that they experience on their small lake from wake enhancing activities and they are now making their 2nd formal request that the Village adopt the language they have consistently asked for. The ordinance on the agenda is a multi-lake ordinance and does not adequately address the concerns on their particular lake. Their lake is narrow and they already have buoys placed at 150'. The real issue is that the large waves created beyond the slow no wake area that cause safety hazards and shoreline disturbance. Imagine all the lake activities going on and 200' away people are creating these waves and as the years go by the boats are getting bigger. None of the things in this ordinance take into account the future of the size of boats. Maybe 500' isn't even the answer and maybe 700' is better. Concerned that on their lake the 200' distances are not adequate. Large majority of residents and communities are recognizing that wake surfing activities cause damage. Focus on public safety, repeated congestion in the deepest part of the lake, and that this lake is simply too small for this activity and ask that the village adopt a specific ordinance for Lower Nashotah Lake restricting wake enhancing activities so they can gain back safety, and protect their lake going into the future.

Pete Engel, 3038 N Interlaken, stated we are here tonight with the proposed ordinance because they started it on Lower Nashotah Lake, they asked for a prohibition on wake-surfing, not skiing, and he won't repeat what Jim and Sandy and the others before him have said. In 2024, they (village board) showed them total disrespect, didn't even consider their proposal which was a recommendation to take to the DNR and to the Village Attorney for consideration and that was shot down. They came back in February but the meeting was changed to March and that was discussed and moved forward to the Village Attorney. This ordinance is a complete disgrace and doesn't recognize the history of wakeboat surfing, the feet from shore, the depth, doesn't reference the Minnesota study. He thinks that because Jack told said 200' width and 20' depth during the previous discussion, that's how we came to this. 84 Wisconsin Towns and Villages have adopted ordinances of those 79 are total prohibitions. Has total respect for what the trustees do, but they need to have accurate information, and base it on the science. There group

offered their attorney and their experts to meet with the Village Attorney and board members but they were turned down. He would like to propose that we sit back and get the people in the room to make the right decision. He stated he is also protecting the trustees and the residents of this village from making a decision on faulty, inaccurate information. As an example, there was a group referenced as stating 20' in depth but what was left out of the document's whereas was that if you were 600' from shore. They aren't opposed to wake boating, they are opposed to the wake-surf function which causes destruction. He questioned who will pay for the damage to his shoreline, he has a quote and it is very expensive. He asked the board to reconsider and reconvene to put together a group that can make good decisions for the entire village.

J.J. Alaily, 2593 N. Mill Road, President of Upper Nemahbin Lake Management District and also a resident of Upper Nemahbin Lake, thanked the board for not pursuing a full ban. While this was not brought forward by their group, they appreciate that the village is working towards reasonable restrictions rather than a prohibition. Upper Nemahbin Lake is a high-quality lake system, and the data matters, it has shown strong, long-term trends in clarity and nutrients, that doesn't mean wake surfing has no impact but we should be careful about assuming that wake-surfing is causing measurable deterioration of the lake. The Nemahbin and Nashotah Lakes are part of a much larger watershed system. Lake health is important and any ordinance should be targeted, practical and based on the studies. The District Board does support reasonable restrictions, they support the 200' setback but they believe 20' depth is too restrictive for the lake system, because available studies have shown otherwise and a 12-15' standard is an acceptable compromise and will still provide protections. The biggest issue the district has with the language is "the ability to be surfed" that language should be removed, it is too broad and could create unintended circumstances and risks far more than the intended consequences. Speaking as a village resident we saw this type of reaction for jet-skis and there were reasonable recommendations made and eventually usage patterns leveled out. He believes that wake surfing is similar. His request is to keep the 200' set back and revise the depth limit to something closer to 12-15' and remove the phrase "ability to be surfed" so the ordinance is clear, fair and narrowly tailored to the activity the village is trying to regulate.

Quinten DeMars, 2653 N Mill Road, stated he is an avid watersport enthusiast that has lived on the lakes in Lake Country since 2004 and was introduced to wake surfing as a low impact water sport after knee surgery. Believes this is being created due to common sense boating etiquette. He agrees with the first part of the wake restriction and that 200' is a safe and adequate distance while engaging in wake surfing. The second part of the wake restriction he'd like to see it changed to 15' depth for a more reasonable restriction based on research provided as all research can be biased to any party. He hopes to see this watersport continue for his children who enjoy it and he enjoys educating boaters on common sense boating etiquette and promoting safe boating for all.

Bill Jalonen, 1544 N Breezeland Road on Lower Nemahbin Lake, stated JJ covered a lot of his points. Questioned why are we regulating all lakes under one regulation, thinks the source of data is great and that all lakes are unique and wonders if the data is specific to the lake and to the boats on the lake. Erosion is caused by waves and waves are caused by boats, the amount of waves are caused by the amount of circles around the lake. We can learn a lot from the localized damage and do we have any damage of that. What constitutes the majority of boaters, is it tubers, skiers, wake surfers. Thinks we should start there because if we do this, we have to follow all existing regulations and is their compliance with those. If we have regulations, we need to enforce everything equally.

Mike Nevins, 849 N Point View Road, stated that related to wake enhancement, he thinks we have covered the minimum acreage and mean depth, covered distance from shore, no comments as to how many of those boats would you allow on one lake at one time. The other point is that if a particular lake has 75% of its area not being able to be used, then the balance of the lake needs to be considered not usable also. How are you going to set a boundary to show how those boats will operate so there is a good chance they will over the limits. Mr. Nevins also stated that related to agenda Item 12. B. that sounds like another tax and if someone is going to create another transportation fund, there needs to be accountability.

Brad Demien, 34710 Bartlett Road, stated he is supporting the Lower Nashotah Lake Association and supports everything that Sandy, Jim and Peter have mentioned. He also spoke about erosion of shoreline and two years ago he applied for permits to dredge the channel he is on, believes he will have to do it again in two years by the amount of sludge that these boats are pushing into the channel. He doesn't want to bring the bill to the city that was a hefty bill that residents shouldn't have to put forth again.

Paul Barbour, 3700 N. Hickory Lane, on Upper Nashotah Lake, has a lot of friends that have wake-surfing boats, has wake surfed and loved it, loves to have fun and seeing people having fun. He is disappointed that the state and the DNR haven't provided guidance and let it up to local communities and individual lakes, which has pitted neighbors against neighbors. He doesn't believe wakeboats should be banned but believes they should not operate in lakes where they will damage the lake floor or the homeowners' piers or shorelines. The proposed solution is not sufficient based on the surveys he has read, based on the videos he has seen in terms of 20' the impact on the lake shore based on the research that people have referenced. He asked that we go back to the idea with a blank for foot from the shore and depth and we individually do that lake by lake or whatever the best approach is because the current one is insufficient in his view.

Lynn Alaily, 2593 N Mill Road, stated since 2015 she has lived on Upper Nemahbin, loves being part of the lake community and the boating that they are allowed to do on the lake. She asks that as we put additional restrictions in place, we do so responsibly and consider all of those affected today and in the future. This ordinance affects all the lakes and it sounds like all the lakes are a little bit different and understands there is no blanket ordinance or regulation across the state but consider how this affects everyone. It sounds like there are a lot of people here who would like see a complete ban on one specific lake so she asks that if we are looking to do something across the village that it doesn't unduly affect those who don't want a ban. She stated she believes in no more restrictions than what are already in place.

Diane Knutson, 2934 N Interlaken Drive, Lower Nashotah Lake, wanted to reference some of the research that is being cited. If the wake boat industry is providing research that is analogous kind of like when the tobacco industry was providing research. They are producing research that is going to benefit them and not have actual scientific truth. If this activity is restricted, who will benefit from it? Everyone is because it means that the lake ecology is preserved decades down the road. If it is not regulated, who benefits? Only the wakeboat owners and they are very small percentage of boat owners in Waukesha County.

Ann Wall, 940 N Point View Road, on Lower Nemahbin Lake, stated she wanted to thank the board for considering an ordinance and is happy to see something being put forth. 200' or farther from shore and 20' of depth at a minimum would be great and would be helpful. She stated she boats on the lake nearly every day, swims distances and has personally observed a significant decrease in the fish on Lower

Nemahbin Lake and contributes that to loss of natural habit so she would love to see an ordinance in place.

Pete Engel, stated that the former Police Chief at board meetings twice in 2024 and in March of this year said he could not enforce, that it was impossible to enforce the distance from shore and the depth because we do not have the technology for that and he thinks that should be part of the decision because enforcement is critical.

Jim Recknagel, stated he got the President's job last Summer and received the records last summer and went through them and that 1976 was the year Lower Nashotah Lake with the DNR bought the 10 Slow No Wake buoys and the recommended that the boat size for that lake was 15' and 40 hp motors. Today's boats can have 650 hp and 24' and carry 2,000 pounds of ballast.

CONSENT AGENDA - Items listed under the Consent Agenda are considered in one motion unless a Village Board Member requests that an item be removed from the Consent Agenda

- A. Minutes of April 9, 2026 Regular Meeting
- B. April 2026 payables
- C. Acceptance of \$5,000 Donation to Police Community Outreach Fund\

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to approve the consent agenda.* Carried.

Discussion and action on an Ordinance Creating New Sections 30-42.5, 30-99.5, 30-137.5, 30-206.5, 30-235.5 and 30-272.5 in Chapter 30 Entitled Waterways, Article II entitled watercraft, to regulate the operation of a motorboat in a manner that creates a wake that is, or is intended to be, or has the ability to be surfed by a person

President Riley asked the following questions: does the majority of the Village Trustees think we should regulate this activity? All members replied, yes.

Since response was yes, which lakes should it apply to? All or some?

Trustee Phillips stated he thinks we have to do it for all because if we start doing it for one it could add extra pressure to the lakes that don't have a restriction.

Trustee Henrickson asked how this works with the shared jurisdiction lakes and stated that they will need to take lake size and geometry into consideration. Attorney Macy explained there is an exception to the statutes related to shared jurisdiction and that you no longer have to have identical ordinances adopted but you do have to hold a public hearing. President Riley took that response to be for all.

Trustee Arenz's response was for all lakes.

Trustee Mellone responded some or each lake should be treated individually, understands that would be more burdensome but each lake is very unique and some should have an outright ban because of their topography while others might just have restrictions.

President Riley asked if we did individual ordinances on individual lakes would that make them harder to defend. Attorney Macy responded yes and referenced that 856 pages of data was provided. They must be basing their decision and be able to justify the numbers (distance and depth) with actual studies. You can't just say this lake is blank but there is no study to support that. In Mukwonago they went out and did studies on individual lakes. Difficult to justify different numbers for the different lakes otherwise.

Trustee Mellone stated so if a lake didn't like the numbers that the board set in an ordinance that lake could do their own study and show justification and request that the numbers be changed based on the uniqueness of that lake. Attorney Macy stated that is correct.

President Riley explained that what the board is planning on doing is working through this and the fill in the blank part that they talked about last month, will be filled in tonight. Then we have to send the draft to the DNR for review and then have a public hearing. What we do tonight is not the final answer. Attorney Macy added that the DNR has 20 days to respond and an ordinance can be effective in 60 days as long as we have the DNR comments. We need to have the numbers to send to the DNR and notice the public hearing, we cannot send with blanks. The numbers can be changed as a result of the public hearing comments.

It was noted that there are five or six different studies with different numbers included in the 850 pages of documents and we will need to reference those when we make the final determination.

President Riley asked at what depth do the Village Trustees want the draft ordinance to read?

Trustee Arenz stated he doesn't have a chapter and a verse exact reference but thinks around page 150 there was a series of lakes that used 500' and 20' deep and they used two references. Those studies they cited seemed to be appropriate and fit our community more than the others.

Trustee Henrickson stated he was only able to read and digest twelve of the studies and the St Anthony Falls Lab is 20' and 500', also the University of Michigan and Michigan DNR studies are 20' and 500'. Those numbers seem to be supported by universities as opposed to industry or conservations groups. Wisconsin Green Fire was mentioned but that was a literary review and not primary research. When looking at Lower Nashotah Lake it is 900' wide so 500' minimum would effectively make it impossible to operate a wakeboat on that lake. Attorney Macy stated we need to talk about the science not the effect. Trustee Henrickson stated he likes to reference studies funded by university primary research versus industry.

Trustee Phillips stated he agrees with Trustee Henrickson to rely on university research instead of industry groups.

Trustee Mellone stated she agrees but would like to go through the public hearing before final decision. She stated she is curious why we proposed 200' and is that based on a study. Attorney Macy stated he believed that is what the direction was although he did not attend that meeting. President Riley explained he did say fill in the blank and thinks that might be what Attorney Bitar put in there as a starting point. Trustee Mellone questioned if the 200' is arbitrary and not based on studies. Trustee Henrickson stated there were some industry studies that referenced the 200'.

Trustee Arenz stated that a resident asked about limiting the number of boats and thinks that the Public Trust Doctrine doesn't allow the municipality to limit that. Attorney Macy agreed.

President Riley asked if we plug in 500' and 20' depth do we agree that we can forward that to the DNR.

Trustee Arenz stated that someone asked about “the ability to be surfed” language. Attorney Macy explained that by saying if he has a wake boat and is the only one in it and he goes out and uses it with the ballast full, he can cause as much damage that way and that’s what he is trying to capture and thinks the wording is very clear. We are not only regulating if they are surfing but if they are preparing to surf, we have to capture that and feels we are doing it correctly.

Trustee Mellone asked about the other lakes that have a ban or ordinance in place and how they mark the lakes, do they use buoys. Attorney Macy responded that a representative from Pike Lake explained they are using GIS mapping and marking where the activity is allowed and then providing QR Codes at every launch which links to the maps so people can access on their phones and determine if their boat is operating in the proper areas. It is very simple, straightforward technology. Prosecution is still not going to be easy but possible.

Trustee Henrickson stated he heard a concern about invasive species spread because ballasts cannot be completely emptied and it that something they should address. Attorney Macy stated those concerns are already addressed in the law and we want to keep this as clean as possible.

There was discussion about the public hearing related to when we can hold it, what the publication requirements are and he promised people that there would be a public hearing

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to move forward with the ordinance as proposed with the numbers changed for the distance to 500’.*

Trustee Mellone stated she thinks 500’ is excessive for some of the lakes but she encourages those in favor of wake surfing and those that enjoy it and because we are getting close to summer that they should make good with their neighbors and be neighborly and if they are going to wake surf to do it in a defined area that doesn’t conflict with those that are trying to maintain their shorelines. Just be a good steward.

Trustee Arenz stated they don’t want to carve out a certain lake but questioned if they would we want the technology to be applied to all of the lakes so we can see how it affects each lake. It would seem to make sense since we are going to come to the public hearing and people will ask “how does this apply to my lake”. Not trying to carve out an individual lake but saying we do it for all the lakes because people are going to ask. Doesn’t know if we use GIS or the DNR maps but it should be done. President Riley stated it should show the different 200’, 300’, 400’, 500’ with different colored lines.

Carried.

MOTION: (Arenz, Henrickson) *to set a public hearing for the July meeting date.* Carried.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Discussion and action on request of Mike and Lori Laylan for extraterritorial Certified Survey Map to create two new parcels on Elm Drive, more specifically located in part of the SE ¼ of Section 11, T7N, R16E, in the Town of Concord, Jefferson County.

Planner Barrows explained that the Plan Commission unanimously acknowledged the CSM and all conditions have been addressed on the revised CSM.

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to acknowledge the Certified Survey Map subject to the owner's signature being added to the Certified Survey Map.* Carried.

Discussion and action on request by Charles Kopplin to create a Certified Survey Map that combines a portion of property located at 1521 N. Waterville Road (SUMT0672016006) owned by Simone Marie Dubois with property located at 1531 N. Waterville Road (SUMT0672015002) owned by Charles Kopplin & Sharon Bardele.

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to approve the Certified Survey Map to include additional acreage as part of 1531 N Waterville Road (SUMT0672015002), subject to the following conditions:*

- A. APPROVALS FROM ALL OBJECTING AND APPROVING BODIES:** *Petitioner shall satisfy all comments, conditions and concerns of the Village Engineer, Surveyor, and Planner prior to approval of the final Certified Survey Map.*
- B. WARRANTY DEED:** *The applicant shall record an approved warranty deed that transfers Parcel "A" on the Site Plan prepared by LandTech with a revision date of 4/10/2026 to Lot 1 of CSM # 11674 (1531 N. Waterville Road) prior to the recording of the Certified Survey Map. The deed shall clearly state that Parcel A is being combined with Lot 1 of CSM #11674 (1531 N. Waterville Road).*
- C. SUBJECT TO REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES.** *As a condition precedent to this conditional CSM approval taking effect, the Petitioner shall pay all fees, costs, and assessments due and owing to the Village of Summit, and for reimbursement of any expenses, costs and disbursements which have been incurred by the Village of Summit in the drafting, review or enforcement of this conditional CSM approval, including without limitation by reason of enumeration, design, engineering, inspection and legal work.*
- D. UTILITY CONNECTION FEES.** *As a condition precedent to this conditional CSM approval taking effect, the Petitioner shall pay all utility connection fees owed to the Village of Summit. Note: **The petitioner is not creating any new lots. Therefore, this condition does not apply.***
- E. BUSINESS OR COMMERCIAL USE.** *There shall be no commercial or business use on this lot, except as specifically permitted within or pursuant to the applicable Zoning Code.*
- F. ONE YEAR TO SATISFY CONDITIONS.** *Subject to the Petitioner satisfying all of the aforementioned conditions within one year of the Village Board granting conditional final approval of the CSM.*

Carried.

Discussion and action on request of Ryan Buck, on behalf of White Stone Community Church, for an Addendum to an existing Stormwater Management Practice Maintenance Agreement to accommodate a parking lot expansion located at 2517 N. Dousman Road (SUMT0637999008) with stormwater facilities that extend on the adjacent property SUMT0637999009

MOTION: (Phillips, Mellone) *to approve the Stormwater Management Practice Maintenance Agreement Addendum 1 for White Stone Church parking lot improvement subject to the addendum including both properties referenced in this report. The agreement shall be recorded with Waukesha County Register of Deeds prior to the construction of the parking lot expansion. Carried.*

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Monthly Administrative Report

Director Nash reviewed the report.

Discussion and action on a Resolution in support of long-term sustainable funding solution to the State of Wisconsin's Transportation Fund

Director Nash explained this is in reference to the State Transportation Fund not the Village directly.

MOTION: (Mellone, Arenz) *to adopt Resolution No. 26-487 in Support of a Long-term Sustainable Funding Solution to the State of Wisconsin's Transportation Fund. Carried.*

Discussion and action on a Resolution recognizing the week of May 17 – 23, 2026 as “National Public Works Week” in the Village of Summit

MOTION: (Phillips, Henrickson) *to adopt Resolution No. 26-484 Recognizing the Week of May 17 – 23, 2026 as “National Public Works Week” in the Village of Summit. Carried.*

Discussion and action on interest to purchase village owned lakeshore properties on Lower Nemahbin Lake: SUMT0670030, SUMT0670031, SUMT0670036

Trustee Mellone stated she would be in favor and doesn't understand why the Village wants to hold onto it. President Riley thinks auction is the way to go.

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to direct staff to investigate how this would affect the Village and what the benefits could be. Carried.*

Discussion and action on request for proposals for a Park Facilities Needs Assessment and Impact Fee Analysis

MOTION: (Mellone, Arenz) *to approve the Request for Proposals for a Park Facilities Needs Assessment and Impact Fee Analysis and to authorize Village staff to advertise for proposals. Carried.*

Discussion and action on Village of Summit Adopt-A-Highway Program policy

Director Nash stated that in the past the sign costs were paid by the people who cleaned the stretch of road. These participants are doing the Village a service and the costs are nominal. If the Village would consider paying for the cost of the signs, she has prepared information that shows \$150 total cost. President Riley stated the Village also provides the bags and the vest. The sign is the adoption part.

Trustee Mellone suggested that if someone does it for 2-3 years, they get the sign. Trustee Arenz explained the way Waukesha County does it and it's a two-part sign and the bottom part which has the individual or group name, so that can be replaced and still use the top part of the sign.

Director Nash added she is not aware that other communities that charge for the signs. They are usually happy to have the participants.

Trustee Henrickson stated there are people that want to do clean ups but not necessarily adopt a part. Trustee Arenz asked if that would fall under "Friends of the Park". Maybe the Village people get a group together and they would do it on a Saturday and adopt a segment of the road.

There was discussion about adding that if you don't it for one entire year, the segment becomes available.

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to approve the Village of Summit Adopt-A-Highway Program Policy with the changes discussed.* Carried.

Discussion and action on a Resolution for support of the Waukesha County established street and highway width map for CTH DR at 100-foot width

MOTION: (Arenz, Mellone) *to adopt Resolution No. 26-486 in Support of Waukesha County Established Street and Highway Width Map for CTH DR at 100-width.* Carried.

Discussion and action on consideration for special permission per Section 24-34(b)(2)(i)(a) of the Village of Summit Code to allow for a driveway width exceeding 24 feet at 1772 N Waterville Road

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to grant special permission per Section 24-34(b)(2)(i)(a) of the Village of Summit Code to allow for a driveway width exceeding 24 feet at 1772 N Waterville Road to remain at 30' 4".* Carried.

Discussion and action on consideration for special permission per Section 24-34(b)(2)(i)(a) of the Village of Summit Code to allow for a driveway width exceeding 24 feet at 34136 Davies Drive

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to approve special permission per Section 24-34(b)(2)(i)(a) of the Village of Summit Code to allow for a driveway width exceeding 24 feet at 34136 Davies Drive to remain at 39'.* Carried.

Discussion and action on Genesee Lake Road Park Dumpster Enclosure bid results and authorization to award contract

Director Nash explained that two bids were received this time but one bid was considered non-responsive because bid security was not provided. The remaining bid came in at \$55,015 which exceeds the \$40,000 that was approved in the Capital Improvement Budget. Director Nash stated she provided three options in her Summary.

Oconomowoc Area Baseball Club (OABC) is looking for reduced payment of their license fees, so they would pay the \$15,015 as the license fee for the next 2 years and then there wouldn't be a license fee for

the following three years.

Trustee Arenz stated the focus 30 years ago was with the vision to develop the park and to partner with people so he likes the ability to work through this and OABC will benefit from this as well.

Trustee Hendrickson questioned if the Village is foregoing the revenue string for several years, what would the money be used for. Director Nash responded that \$7,500 is the license agreement and in lieu of paying us they would use the money to go towards their improvements so we are losing \$18,000 but they are putting in \$100,000 in assets at the park.

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to approve the bid results for the Genesee Lake Road Park Dumpster Enclosure and to authorize staff to proceed with awarding the contract to the lowest responsible bidder, Wellspring Construction Group, LLC, at a cost not-to-exceed \$55,015 utilizing Option 1. Carried.*

Discussion and action on amendment of the 2026 Capital Improvement Plan to include additional funding for the Genesee Lake Road Park Dumpster Enclosure project

MOTION: (Phillips, Henrickson) *to approve Resolution No. 26-488 approving an amendment to the 2026 Capital Improvement Plan Budget and the 2026 Operating budget for the purpose of increasing funding for the Genesee Lake Road Park Dumpster Enclosure Construction project utilizing funds donated by the Oconomowoc Area Baseball Club. Carried.*

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Monthly Report

Chief Wraalstad reviewed the monthly report.

Discussion and action on Ordinance 148-2026 related to Truancy

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to approve Ordinance 148-2026. Carried.*

WESTERN LAKES FIRE DISTRICT

Monthly Report

Chief Bowen dropped off a Monthly Chief Officer Report.

VILLAGE BOARD

Discussion and action on appointments to the following:

- a. Plan Commission: 1 *one year – Village Board member*

MOTION: (Riley, Phillips) *to appoint Lisa Mellone as a member of the Plan Commission for a one-year term. Carried.*

Discussion and action on borrowing for 2026 Capital Improvement Projects

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to direct staff to make an application to the Board of Commissioners of Public Lands, State of Wisconsin for Municipal General Obligation Loan in the amount not to exceed \$502,500 with a five-year term for the purpose of financing the 2026 capital improvement program.*
Carried.

Discussion and action to set a date and time for a meeting to discuss event venues as part of the Zoning Code update project

The group agreed to meet at 4 p.m on June 10 but that they would have to adjourn by 5:30 p.m because they have a Fire District meeting at 6 p.m.

Discussion and action to set a date and time for a special meeting for strategic planning

MOTION: (Mellone, Phillips) *to set special meeting on June 30 at 9 am – 3 pm.* Carried.

Discussion and action to set items for June 11, 2026 regular Village Board meeting

Possible agenda items: Two promotions for police, borrowing documents, alcohol licensing.

ADJOURN VILLAGE BOARD MEETING

MOTION: (Arenz, Phillips) *to adjourn at 9:22 p.m.* Carried.

Respectfully Submitted,

Debra J. Michael, WCMC
Village Administrator-Clerk/Treasurer

Next Regular Meeting: June 11, 2026