

Minutes of the public meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Hewlett Bay Park held on Monday, March 18, 2024 at 6:00 PM at Village Hall, 30 Piermont Avenue, Hewlett New York 11557. Members of the public also were permitted to observe and participate.

The public had a right to speak at this meeting.

1. Calling the Meeting to Order:

Mayor Oliviero called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM

2. Roll Call:

Present-	-	
	Mayor	Antonio Oliviero
	Deputy Mayor	Renee Zylberberg
	Trustee	Michael Davidov
	Trustee	Sarah Joseph
	Trustee	Stella Gershfeld
	Village Clerk	Michelle Blandino
	Village Attorney	Brian Stolar- Via Zoom

3. Notice of Meeting – Nassau Herald:

The Clerk reported that notice of the public meeting was emailed to the Nassau Herald, posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall and posted on the Village website.

4. Minutes- Minutes of the Meeting on February 27, 2024

On motion by Mayor Oliviero, seconded by Deputy Mayor Zylberberg, and approved unanimously carried the Board dispensed with the reading of the February 27, 2024 minutes as the Clerk had previously mailed such minutes and they are hereby approved.

5. HALB

On motion made by Mayor Oliviero, seconded by Deputy Mayor Zylberberg, and adopted unanimously, the Board made the following findings and determination with respect to a request by HALB to modify a previous approval to modify the roofline and reduce the height of the approved gym/classroom building the HALB application:

BOARD OF TRUSTEES
VILLAGE OF HEWLETT BAY PARK

Application of The Hebrew Academy of Long Beach, 291 Meadowview Avenue, Hewlett Bay Park, New York, to amend the terms of previous approvals to permit the modification of the roofline and reduction of the height of the new gym. Premises are designated as Section 42, Block L, Lot 1 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map.

DECISION

The Hebrew Academy of Long Beach (HALB) has filed this application to the Board of Trustees (Board) of the Village of Hewlett Bay Park (HBP), which has jurisdiction over this application pursuant to Village Code Chapter 131 and §146-24.3. The proposed modification – which would permit the roof height of the approved gymnasium to be lower and includes no other changes – does not require a public hearing. Nonetheless, the applicant sent notice to nearby neighbors advising that the applicant would appear before the Board to hear and consider applicant's request to reduce the roof height of the gymnasium building. At the Board's meeting, the applicant submitted documentation and testimony in support of the application, and various interested persons appeared and commented with respect to the application. He applicant presented elevations depicting the proposed changes, which were entitled HALB SKA Village Board Meeting Presentation, dated 03/18/204 (the "Elevations") Interested members and the public expressed their comments.

As Lead Agency for review of the proposed action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act the Board has reviewed the Environmental Assessment Form submitted with the application and has classified this application as an Unlisted matter. The Board's determination of significance is made herein.

The Board has given due and proper consideration to the request, the relevant laws and regulations, and the comments and information provided to the Board at the public hearings held with respect to this application, and finds and concludes as follows:

1. The subject property (Premises) is located in the Village's Residence A zoning district. The property presently is improved with two 2-story brick school buildings, a gymnasium, classroom facilities, and various appurtenant facilities, including a playground, driveways and parking areas. The Premises presently are used for educational and religious uses, consisting of a private religious school and ancillary activities.
2. The current uses of the property largely predate the enactment of the Village zoning regulations presently applicable to the Premises. Those current uses commenced subsequent to litigation between HALB and the Village and were initiated pursuant to the terms and conditions of a stipulation of settlement of that litigation. Most of the terms and conditions of that stipulation are no longer in effect due to the passage of time and the substance of those terms and conditions. As a consequence of this history, and various subsequent applications by HALB to the Village after the enactment of the current zoning regulations, HALB's current uses of the Premises, had consisted of legal non-conforming uses, with some changes authorized by actions of the Village subsequent to the enactment of the current zoning regulations, including uses permitted in accordance with the Board's November 16, 2015 decision (the "2015 Decision"), whereby the Board granted certain variances and a conditional use permit.
3. HALB has made this application to reduce the height of the gymnasium building, which building was approved by the Board previously.
4. The Board has considered the details of the proposed uses of the Premises, the Elevations, the Environmental Assessment Form submitted with this application, and the various

comments of the public. The Board hereby makes the following Findings and Conclusions with respect to classification and significance of the proposed action and, based upon those Findings and Conclusions hereby determines that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact (Negative Declaration), and that no further environmental impact review is required for this matter:

- a. the proposed action is an Unlisted action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations;
- b. the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action;
- c. the Board has considered the following factors, and made the following conclusions, in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action, taking into consideration that the Board will impose reasonable conditions on any relief granted with respect to this application and that such conditions will address the various minor impacts which may be a consequence of that relief:
 - i. the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - ii. the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - iii. the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - iv. the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - v. the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - vi. the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - vii. the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
 - viii. the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - ix. the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - x. the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - xi. the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;

- xii. the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

3. Subject to the conditions contained in the previous approvals by the Board permitting the gymnasium building and any other applicable approvals, the proposed change to the roof structure and reduced height of the building will not increase congestion nor create parking problems, such as would adversely affect the quality of life in the neighborhood, nor otherwise impact adversely on the character of the neighborhood or community.

4. At the hearings, the applicant demonstrated that the height reduction will not result in any negative change in the existing religious educational use.

5. After consideration of the testimony and proof adduced at the meeting, and other relevant information known to the Board or appearing in the public record, the Board finds and concludes that the request to amend the previous approvals to permit a reduced height of the gymnasium would result in a benefit to the applicant and no detriment to the neighborhood, subject to reasonable conditions as stated herein,

- a. the benefit to the applicant outweighs any minimal detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community;
- b. no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood nor any detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the requested variances,
- c. the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance;
- d. the requested modification – a height reduction and no change in the building footprint- are not substantial;
- e. the proposed modification will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and
- f. by virtue of the height reduction, whether the difficulty is self-created is not relevant to the Board's consideration.

6. With respect to the application for permission to amend the existing special use permit authorizing a religious educational use on the Premises, the Board finds and concludes that the continuation of such use on the smaller parcel of property, subject to the conditions stated herein, will not result in any significant adverse effect on the public health, safety and general welfare, will be consistent with the overall plan for land use in the Village, and will not create any significant impacts on traffic, noise, use of local facilities, infrastructure or services. Accordingly, the requested special use permit or conditional use permit is granted, subject to the conditions stated in this resolution of approval.

7. In granting all or any part of the relief sought by the applicant, the Board has authority to impose such reasonable conditions and restrictions as are directly related to the proposed use and development of the Premises, and such conditions and restrictions accordingly are included in the terms and conditions of the approvals granted herein, as set forth hereinafter.

8. For the foregoing reasons, the Board hereby grants and approves this application subject to the following extent and on the following terms and conditions:

- a. The previous approvals are amended to include the following conditions, in addition to the conditions contained within the previous approvals.

9. The foregoing amendment, subject to the following terms and conditions, which shall remain in effect with respect to the applicant, its heirs, successors and assigns as owners of all or any part of the Premises until cancelled, amended or modified as provided herein:

- a. no construction or other permits may be issued by the Village with respect to any portion of the Premises, including the portion on which the religious educational use is to continue and either or both of the new residential lots, until such time as a site plan for the lot(s) with respect to which such construction of permit is proposed or requested has been approved by the Board of Trustees;
- b. as part of the approval of any site plan or the issuance of any permit for construction or development with respect to the Premises being used for religious educational uses, or either or both of the residential lots, if the Board of Trustees should determine that the Premises previously subdivided is not providing sufficient drainage to prevent harmful water runoff from such property on to either or both of the residential lots or any other property, the owner of such main portion shall install such additional drainage improvements and facilities on such property as may be required by the Board of Trustees;
- c. as part of the approval of any site plan for any portion of the Premises, the Board of Trustees may include reasonable requirements for landscaping and screening, and fencing,
- d. all existing conditions and covenants of record with respect to the Premises, or any portion thereof, and previous approvals, shall remain in effect according to their terms;
- e. except as approved herein, all construction and use of the subject property shall conform to the Village zoning regulations, and no permits shall be requested or be approved for any construction or use of the subject property other than in compliance with the applicable zoning regulations of the Village in effect at the time of permit issuance;
- f. the owner(s) of the Premises, or any part thereof, shall maintain the same in good condition and in accordance with the reasonable requirements of the Village at all times, prior to and subsequent to the conveyance of one or both of the residential lots;
- g. HALB and its successors will not use, or permit the use of, the Premises during the months of July and August for regularly scheduled weekend outdoor activities;
- h. the amended special use permit granted herein shall not be deemed or interpreted to permit religious services to be conducted on the Premises, or any part thereof, except as part of the operation of the authorized educational use of the Premises. Any other use of the Premises or any part thereof for religious services shall require a special use permit from the Village as provided in the Village Code;
- i. no part of this decision shall be deemed to relieve HALB or its successors in interest from any existing obligations or restrictions as contained in a certain stipulation of settlement in the litigation entitled "The Hebrew Academy of Long

Beach v. Incorporated Village of Hewlett Bay Park, et al.”, United States District Court, Eastern District of New York, case number 93 Civ. 3607, dated March 22, 1994;

- j. within six (6) months of obtaining building permits to perform the work approved herein, and prior to obtaining any certificate of completion, HALB shall submit to the Building Department and the Board of Trustees and obtain Building Department approval, subject to review and comment by the Board of Trustees, for a landscape plan that will provide adequate and appropriate evergreen screening and a fence to minimize visibility of the approved improvements, along the Piermont Avenue frontage. The fencing and landscape screening required by such plan must be installed as directed by the Building Department and shall be maintained by HALB in good condition at all times. At any time within six months after the installation of the landscape screening as directed by the Building Department, the Building Department may review the Premises, and direct the installation of additional landscape screen if the existing screening is insufficient to adequately screen the improvements from view off the site. Upon being notified of any direction to install such additional screening, the owner shall promptly comply and such additional screening shall also be maintained in good condition at all times.
- k. Applicant shall install evergreen screening wall, with plantings at a height, as planted of 5-7 feet in height on the perimeter of the fencing approved herein, and such landscaping shall be planted in the planting season occurring immediately after the construction of the fencing, as shown on a landscape plan approved by the Building Inspector. The plantings shall be subject to the same installation and maintenance obligations as provided in paragraph j.
- l. The temporary parking shall be accessible and utilize a gravel driveway area, and such gravel area shall be restored to its previous condition upon the termination of the need for temporary parking.
- m. The temporary parking approved herein is for only a 1 year period. If additional time is required, the applicant may submit a writing to the Board requesting additional time and explaining the reason for the need for such time and the anticipated additional time required.
- n. Applicant shall complete the construction approved herein within 3 years of the filing of this Decision with the Village Clerk.
- o. Any future change in the nature or scope of the use of the Premises, or in any of the buildings or structures on the Premises, or any additional buildings or structures on the site, will require an amendment to the special use permit.
- p. The impervious pavement surface, and the curbs in the areas of pervious coverage, shall be marked to show parking space locations in a manner satisfactory to the Building Department.
- q. Except for lighting necessary to illuminate exit doors, no additional exterior lighting shall be installed on the Premises.
- r. All conditions contained within the special use permit, and amendments of such permit, shall continue to apply to the Premises.
 - s. The proposed improvements shall not result in any increase in enrollment at the school; and
- t. The construction work shall conform with the Elevations and, except as modified by the Elevations, the plans that were approved by the Board upon the original application for the gymnasium building.

- A. Letter received on 3/14/24 from Lubna Ramzan, owner of 123 Everit Avenue Seeking relief for the extension on Permit # 3954 to erect a two story single family dwelling.

On motion by Mayor Oliviero, seconded by Trustee Davidov and unanimously carried, the Board approved the request to extend permit # 3954 for 30 days with no fee.

7. Public Hearing for Proposed Bill 2401- A local law to amend Chapter 79 of the Village Code of the Village of Hewlett Bay Park, to modify regulations relating to the use of gardening power equipment on weekends in the Village of Hewlett Bay Park

The Mayor opened the public hearing. The Village Attorney explained the proposed local law. The Board confirmed that the hearing notice was published in the Nassau Herald and posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall and Village Website. Affidavits of posting and publication of the hearing notices are on file at the Village Clerk's office, and hearing no comments from the public, on motion duly made by the Trustee Zylberberg, seconded by Trustee Davidov, with Trustee Joseph abstaining, the Board closed the public hearing and adopted the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that

- (a) Proposed Law HBP-2401 is an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations;
- (b) the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action;
- (c) the Board has considered the following factors in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) whether the proposed action would result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) whether the proposed action would result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) whether the proposed action would impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) whether the proposed action would conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) whether the proposed action would impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) whether the proposed action would result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) whether the proposed action would create a hazard to human health;

(viii) whether the proposed action would create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;

(ix) whether the proposed action would encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;

(x) whether the proposed action would create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;

(xi) whether the proposed action would create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;

(xii) whether the proposed action would result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

(d) the proposed action, would not have a significant adverse environmental impact, as that impact is considered under SEQRA; and

(e) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action

RESOLVED, that the Board adopts Proposed Bill HBP-2401 as Local Law HBP-1 of2024, and directs the Village Clerk to file Local Law HBP-1 of2024 with the New York Secretary of State and take all actions required by law.

8. Public Hearing for Proposed Bill 2402- A local law to amend Chapter 53 of the Village Code of the Village of Hewlett Bay Park, to add building permit issuance restrictions

The Mayor opened the public hearing. The Village Attorney explained the proposed local law. The Board confirmed that the hearing notice was published in the Nassau Herald and posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall and Village Website. Affidavits of posting and publication of the hearing notices are on file at the Village Clerk's office, and hearing no comments from the public, on motion duly made by the Trustee Zylberberg, seconded by Trustee Davidov and Trustee Joseph abstaining, the Board closed the public hearing and adopted the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that

(a) Proposed Law HBP-2402 is an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations;

(b) the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action;

(c) the Board has considered the following factors in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:

(i) whether the proposed action would result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor

- create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) whether the proposed action would result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) whether the proposed action would impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) whether the proposed action would conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) whether the proposed action would impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) whether the proposed action would result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) whether the proposed action would create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) whether the proposed action would create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - (ix) whether the proposed action would encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) whether the proposed action would create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - (xi) whether the proposed action would create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - (xii) whether the proposed action would result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
- (d) the proposed action, would not have a significant adverse environmental impact, as that impact is considered under SEQRA; and
- (e) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action

RESOLVED, that the Board adopts Proposed Bill HBP-2402 as Local Law HBP-2 of 2024, and directs the Village Clerk to file Local Law HBP-2 of 2024 with the New York Secretary of State and take all actions required by law.

9. Public Hearing for Proposed Bill 2403- - A local law to amend Chapter 92 of the Village Code of the Village of Hewlett Bay Park, to amend provisions relative to weekend and holiday construction work

On motion by Mayor Oliviero, seconded by Deputy Mayor Zylberberg, and unanimously approved, the Board continued the hearing until April 15, 2024 at 5:30 PM

10 .Proposals for annual Storm Water Report

West Side Engineering \$4000

On motion by Trustee Davidov, seconded by Trustee Gershfeld and unanimously carried, the Board accepted the proposal for the Annual Storm Water Report from West Side Engineering at a fee not to exceed \$4000

11. Election Update and Resolutions

A. Appoint Election Inspectors and Compensation

On motion by Deputy Mayor Zylberberg and seconded by Mayor Oliviero, the following resolution was unanimously adopted.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Election Law of the State of New York, personal registration is required in the Village of Hewlett Neck for Village Elections, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Election Law, the Board of Trustees is required to appoint an even number of inspectors of election, and fix their compensation, and designate one of them as Chair,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the following persons are hereby designated and appointed inspectors of election, and they shall meet on June 18, 2024 at the place designated for such Village Election, between the hours of 12 Noon and 9:00 p.m., inclusive, for the purpose of the Village Election:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>PARTY</u>
Bruce Serkes	602 HARRISON AVENUE EAST MEADOW, NY 11554	Democrat
Geri Serkes	602 HARRISON AVENUE EAST MEADOW, NY 11554	Democrat
Ronald Tilton	2363 HENRY STREET N BELLMORE NY 11710	REPUBLICAN
Peter Socolo	920 BROADWAY APT. 9 WOODMERE, NY 11598	REPUBLICAN

ALTERNATE INSPECTORS

PAMELA ROSMAN
MICHELE VANDYNE

AND IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED, that Bruce Serkes, hereby is, designated to act as Chair of said inspectors of election, and it is further

RESOLVED, that the compensation for each of the aforesaid inspectors and alternate inspectors of election shall be 135.00 for each of said days that said inspectors of election may be required to serve.

B. Designation of Polling Place and Hours for Village Election June 18, 2024

On motion by Deputy Mayor Zylberberg and seconded by Mayor Oliviero, the following resolution was unanimously adopted.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Election Law, the Board of Trustees is required to designate the polling place for the Village election to be held on June 18, 2024, and the hours of election,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the polling place for the Village election to be held on June 18, 2024 shall be the Village Hall, 30 Piermont Avenue Hewlett, New York.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the hours of the said Village Election shall be from 12:00 noon to 9:00 p.m., inclusive.

12. Pot Hole Repairs

Pavemaster	\$10,990
Roadwork Ahead Inc	\$10,170

On motion by Trustee Davidov, seconded by Trustee Gershfeld and unanimously approved, the Board awarded the Pot Hole Proposal to Roadwork Ahead Inc. for a total price of \$10,170.00

13. Reports

A. Public Safety

1. Police Report –February 2024

February	Arrests:	0
	Movers:	2
	Parkers	0
	Crime	0

2. Security Committee Report- Commissioner Schiffman

B Treasurer's Report –February 2024

Cash Status – February 2024	
Bank Balances - Reg., Pay. M.M.,	
as of 02/01/2024	510,645.99

Plus – Receipts:

Non Property Taxes	2,432.06
Departmental Income	200.00
Use of Money and Property	1,829.20
Licenses and Permits	475.00
Fines and Forfeitures	-401.00
Sale of Property/other Comp	100.00

4,635.26
515,281.25

Less - Disbursements:

General Gov't Support	16,380.19
Public Safety	12,311.18
Health	200.00
Transportation	9,507.54
Culture and Recreation	1,067.88
Employee Benefits	14,265.11

-53,731.90
461,549.35
15,000
446,549.35

Trust Account- Refund Deposits

15,000

Proof – Bank Balances:

Flag Star Bank – Reg	20,176.09
Flag Star Bank – Pay	861.74
Flag Star Bank – M.M.	313,011.52
Flag Star Bank Trust	<u>112,500.00</u>
	446,549.35

1. Audit of Claims

The Board discussed the claims. Upon confirmation from the Board that the items in such abstract represented the purchase of goods and services actually received and/or performed and that each item contained in the abstract was for a proper Village purpose, on motion duly made by Mayor Oliviero, seconded by Deputy Mayor Zylberberg, and adopted unanimously, the Board authorized and directed the Village Treasurer pay the general fund claims in the total sum of \$92,390.62 set forth in abstract #736 (copy of the abstract is on file in the Village office).

14. Building Department

A. Permits Issued:

None

B. Permits Completed:

HBP-20230033

5 Barr Road

Demo of Dwelling

C. Stop Work Order Issued:

HBP-2023009-

125 Piermont Avenue

15. PUBLIC COMMENT

16. Next Meeting: April 15, 2024 at 5:30 PM

17. Adjournment:

There being no further business the meeting was adjourned at 7:30 PM

Michelle Blandino

Village Clerk