

David D. Stein
132 Fairview Lane
Nekoosa, WI 54457
Phone: 414-236-1403
Email: ddstein@gmail.com

May 15, 2026

Matt Muchow, P.E.
Todd Halvensleben, P.E.
Vierbicher Associates, Inc.
201 E. Main Street
Suite 100
Reedsburg, WI 53959

Via Certified Mail
(Return Receipt Requested)
and Via Email

RE: Request to Extend Perforated Drain Tile in Front of 122, 132, and 134 Fairview Lane,
Nekoosa, Wisconsin

Dear Matt and Todd:

Thank you both for taking the time at the May 13, 2026 public information meeting to walk through the Fairview Lane road reconstruction plans and answer my questions. I appreciated the candor about the depth of the proposed perforated drain tile, the soil conditions tied to the Wisconsin River, and the realistic limits on how much groundwater the storm system can capture. I am writing as the homeowner at 132 Fairview Lane, on my own behalf and not on behalf of any client, to follow up on water intrusion concerns affecting 122, 132, and 134 Fairview Lane.

A brief history. My family has lived at 132 Fairview Lane since the house was built in 1962–63. The basement was dry through the mid-1970s — I have personal memories of using it as a child; I was born in 1965. Shortly after the City's reconstruction of Fairview Lane in approximately 1972–1975, my parents began experiencing significant basement water intrusion. My father installed interior drainage tile around 1977–1980, which managed the problem for ten to fifteen years. Over the past four to five years the problem has progressively worsened until water covered the basement floor essentially year-round. The worst was in May 2024, when flooding destroyed my water heater. In June 2025, I had Erie Basement install a heavy-duty perforated interior drain tile around the inner perimeter. I now run two sump pumps continuously, together with at least one dehumidifier — sometimes two; my electric bills are commensurate with a house three or four times the square footage of my home. My neighbors at 122 Fairview Lane (Scott & Sue Gustin) reported the same onset after the mid-1970s road reconstruction and believe they have experienced a similar trajectory.

I raised these concerns with the City well before this project was scoped. I sent a written message to my Alderman, Garrett Kuhn, on March 5, 2024, describing the storm-sewer break and the basement-water problems. I sent a more detailed message to Rick Schmidt, Nekoosa's Director of Public Works, on September 22, 2025, conveying the underground-flow and Glendale Lane history. Mr. Schmidt responded the next day, on September 23, 2025, writing: "We will be looking closely at the groundwater along Fairview. We do plan on installing new storm sewer with perforated pipe to allow ground water into the storm sewer." I am happy to forward either email on request.

While Rick said that he had a crew run a camera through the storm sewer line under Fairview Lane and found no break, I still believe the likely cause is the storm-sewer line. Before he died, former

Vierbicher Associates, Inc.
May 15, 2026

Alderman Kenny Schrader (formerly 133 Fairview Lane) told me there was a break in the line that has created an underground pool extending beneath the basements of the affected residences. Dan Hofmeister also relayed that during the subsequent reconstruction of nearby Glendale Lane, the contractor concluded there was an underground flow running southeast from Fairview through Glendale to the Wisconsin River and modified the Glendale work to divert that flow, which the late Larry Marshall believed caused water to back up under Fairview Lane. I offer this as corroborating context for what you and your team can verify with the elevation, soils, and groundwater data your contractor will encounter during excavation. My further understanding is that the diameter of the line is undersized, especially given that it also carries storm water from part of adjoining Section Street, which hopefully your design remedies.

Based on the plan presented at the May 13 meeting, I was surprised to see that perforated drain tile is shown only at two locations — at the new inlet on the west end (north side, in the trees) and at the existing curb inlet on the east end (south side, in a grassed area). The plan does not show perforated drain tile in front of 122, 132, or 134 Fairview Lane, the three properties most affected by the historical and ongoing water intrusion that Mr. Schmidt's September 23 message appeared to address.

I respectfully request that the plans be revised to extend perforated drain tile across the frontage of 122, 132, and 134 Fairview Lane, tying into the new storm sewer. As I believe Todd acknowledged during the meeting, running drain tile for a longer extent — and connecting to existing private drain tile — is feasible. Given that the street, curb, water main, sanitary lateral, and storm sewer are all being opened in this same corridor in June 2026, the incremental cost of adding perforated tile in front of three homes will never be lower than it is right now.

A related and, I think, more fundamental engineering question — one that bears on whether any extension of the tile will actually achieve the design intent — is the depth at which the perforated drain tile will be installed. At the May 13 meeting, Matt explained that the perforated drain tile will be installed about five feet below the curb, and noted candidly that with a basement at eight feet, the tile "is going to help" but is five feet down. I appreciated the directness of that answer. My own informal measurements appear to show the basement floor at 132 Fairview Lane to be about eight feet below top-of-curb (TOC). The basement floors at 122 and 134 are, to the best of my knowledge, at a comparable depth below TOC. A perforated drain tile (or perforated storm sewer pipe) can only intercept groundwater that stands at or above the elevation of its own invert; it cannot draw the water table down to an elevation below the pipe itself. If the proposed drain tile invert is approximately three feet above the affected basement floors, I would like to understand how the design is expected to relieve the groundwater currently invading those basements — which is the condition Mr. Schmidt's September 23, 2025 email indicated the new perforated pipe was intended to address.


Could you please confirm, in writing: (i) the proposed storm-sewer invert elevations across the frontage of 122, 132, and 134 Fairview Lane; (ii) the proposed perforated drain-tile invert elevation(s) along that same frontage; (iii) the basement-floor elevations Vierbicher is assuming for those three properties (and the source of that assumption — I am happy to provide more exact measurements for 132 Fairview Lane); (iv) the top-of-curb elevations at the same frontage, so that all elevations can be compared on a common datum; and (v) the design basis on which Vierbicher concludes the proposed configuration will intercept the groundwater currently entering those basements? I appreciate that depth is a question of design judgment, and I am not asking you to commit to a particular elevation in this letter — only to share the elevation data and the engineering basis so I can understand how the design is intended to perform. If the design as currently drawn will not lower the local groundwater table to or below the affected basement floors, I would welcome a conversation about what depth or configuration would do so, and whether that can be accommodated within the June 2026 construction window.

Vierbicher Associates, Inc.
May 15, 2026

If the City or Vierbicher concludes that extending and/or deepening the perforated drain tile is not feasible or will not be incorporated into the project, I ask — as I said at the meeting — for a written explanation of the reasons before construction begins in mid-June, so that I can document the record, consider alternative measures on my own property in coordination with the project work, and preserve my rights. I would also welcome the opportunity to meet you on site before construction begins to walk the frontages of 122, 132, and 134 Fairview Lane, and to share the elevation and groundwater observations I have collected.

Thank you for your continued attention to this. I am genuinely hopeful that this is something we can resolve cooperatively within the scope of the project.

Sincerely,



David D. Stein

cc: Rick Schmidt, P.E., Director of Public Works, City of Nekoosa
(via Certified Mail – Return Receipt Requested, and via email)
Garett Kuhn, Alderman, Ward 4, City of Nekoosa
(via email and first-class mail)
Brad Hamilton, Alderman, Ward 4, City of Nekoosa
(via email and first-class mail)
Alan Marcoux, Alderman, Ward 1 & Chair of Public Works Committee, City of Nekoosa
(via email and first-class mail)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.2706	x	156	x	8.34	=	352
February	0.2498	x	154	x	8.34	=	322
March	0.2915	x	151	x	8.34	=	367
April	0.5411	x	90	x	8.34	=	406
May	0.4538	x	103	x	8.34	=	391
June	0.2626	x	118	x	8.34	=	258
July	0.2118	x	114	x	8.34	=	202
August	0.1786	x	134	x	8.34	=	200
September	0.1766	x	168	x	8.34	=	247
October	0.2116	x	166	x	8.34	=	293
November	0.2084	x	188	x	8.34	=	340
December	0.2150	x	177	x	8.34	=	337

2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	x	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.83	x	90	=	0.747
		x	100	=	.83
Design BOD, lbs/day	1190	x	90	=	1071
		x	100	=	1190

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	Number of times flow was greater than 90% of	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	Number of times BOD was greater than 90% of design	Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per each		2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Number of Points					0

0

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 **2025**

<p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p>If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 **2025**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	30	27	7	1	0	0
February	30	27	7	1	0	0
March	30	27	5	1	0	0
April	30	27	5	1	0	0
May	30	27	4	1	0	0
June	30	27	5	1	0	0
July	30	27	4	1	0	0
August	30	27	6	1	0	0
September	30	27	4	1	0	0
October	30	27	5	1	0	0
November	30	27	5	1	0	0
December	30	27	7	1	0	0

* Equals limit if limit is <= 10

Months of discharge/yr	12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge		7	3
Exceedances		0	0
Points		0	0
Total number of points			0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2. Flow Meter Calibration

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)
2025-01-24

No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None.

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

Yes

No

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

If Yes, please explain:

4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
 Yes
 No

If Yes, please explain:

4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
 Yes
 No
 N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

1. If the permit requires monitoring for...
 2. Were these...
 3. No (10 points)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	30	27	10	1	0	0
February	30	27	10	1	0	0
March	30	27	10	1	0	0
April	30	27	8	1	0	0
May	30	27	8	1	0	0
June	30	27	8	1	0	0
July	30	27	7	1	0	0
August	30	27	8	1	0	0
September	30	27	10	1	0	0
October	30	27	12	1	0	0
November	30	27	14	1	0	0
December	30	27	18	1	0	0
* Equals limit if limit is <= 10						
Months of Discharge/yr				12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:					7	3
Exceedances					0	0
Points					0	0
Total Number of Points						

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.
Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

0

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 **2025**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	.8	0.460	1	0
February	.8	0.587	1	0
March	.8	0.590	1	0
April	.8	0.326	1	0
May	.8	0.423	1	0
June	.8	0.363	1	0
July	.8	0.330	1	0
August	.8	0.328	1	0
September	.8	0.494	1	0
October	.8	0.653	1	0
November				
December				
Months of Discharge/yr			10	
Points per each exceedance with 10 months of discharge:				12
Exceedances				0
Total Number of Points				0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal

1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)

- Land applied under your permit
- Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids
- Hauled to another permitted facility
- Landfilled
- Incinerated
- Other

NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.

1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No. 002 - LIQUID SLUDGE

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75									5.12					0	0
Cadmium		39	85									1.135					0	0
Copper		1500	4300									626					0	0
Lead		300	840									25					0	0
Mercury		17	57									2					0	0
Molybdenum	60		75									8.994				0		0
Nickel	336		420									35				0		0
Selenium	80		100									<16				0		0
Zinc		2800	7500									2457					0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 1-2 (10 Points)
- > 2 (15 Points)

3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)

- Yes
- No (10 points)
- N/A - Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)

3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 1 (10 Points)
- > 1 (15 Points)

3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?

- Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

<p>3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	0
<p>6. Biosolids Storage</p> <p>6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> >= 180 days (0 Points) <input type="radio"/> 150 - 179 days (10 Points) <input type="radio"/> 120 - 149 days (20 Points) <input type="radio"/> 90 - 119 days (30 Points) <input type="radio"/> < 90 days (40 Points) <input type="radio"/> N/A (0 Points) <p>6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	0
<p>7. Issues</p> <p>7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">NONE.</div>	

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

<p>1. Plant Staffing</p> <p>1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <p>If No, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>Could use more help/staff for:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <p>If No, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	
<p>2. Preventative Maintenance</p> <p>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes (Continue with question 2) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="radio"/> No (40 points) <input type="checkbox"/> <p>If No, please explain, then go to question 3:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No (10 points) <p>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Paper file system <input type="radio"/> Computer system <input checked="" type="radio"/> Both paper and computer system <input type="radio"/> No (10 points) 	0
<p>3. O&M Manual</p> <p>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No 	
<p>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</p> <p>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent <input type="radio"/> Very good <input type="radio"/> Good <input type="radio"/> Fair <input type="radio"/> Poor <p>Describe your rating:</p>	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

CREW MEMBERS GREASE, CHANGE OIL, AND LUBRICATE ON A CONSISTENT BASIS. INSPECTIONS OCCUR 2 TIMES PER DAY, EXCEPT ON THE WEEKENDS WHICH IS ONCE PER DAY, TO ENSURE THE PLANT IS OPERATING HOW IT SHOULD BE.

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge

1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?

- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)

Name:

DEREK L FREEMAN

Certification No:

36220

0

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub Class	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIC		
		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes				
A2	Attached Growth Processes	X		X	
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
B	Solids Separation	X		X	
C	Biological Solids/Sludges	X		X	
P	Total Phosphorus	X		X	
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	X		X	
L	Laboratory				
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	X	NA

0

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)

- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)

2.3 For wastewater treatment facilities with a registered or certified laboratory, is at least one operator that works in the laboratory certified at the basic level in the laboratory (L) subclass?

- Yes
- No

N/A – Wastewater treatment facility does not have a registered or certified laboratory

2.4 For wastewater treatment facilities that own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system, has at least one operator been designated the OIC for sanitary sewage collection system and certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass?

- Yes
- No

N/A – Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system

3. Succession Planning

3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?

- One or more additional certified operators on staff

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

<input type="checkbox"/> An arrangement with another certified operator <input type="checkbox"/> An arrangement with another community with a certified operator <input type="checkbox"/> An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year <input type="checkbox"/> A consultant to serve as your certified operator <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div>	0
4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. <input type="radio"/> Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: <input type="radio"/> Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. <input type="radio"/> Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.	

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Financial Management

<p>1. Provider of Financial Information</p> <p>Name: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="DON TRAUTMAN"/></p> <p>Telephone: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="920-455-4312"/> (XXX) XXX-XXXX</p> <p>E-Mail Address (optional): <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/></p>													
<p>2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues</p> <p>2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ?</p> <p>● Yes (0 points) <input type="checkbox"/><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>○ No (40 points)</p> <p>If No, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="2019"/></p> <p>○ 0-2 years ago (0 points) <input type="checkbox"/><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>● 3 or more years ago (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>○ N/A (private facility)</p> <p>2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFPP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system?</p> <p>● Yes (0 points)</p> <p>○ No (40 points)</p>	20												
<p>REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]</p>													
<p>3. Equipment Replacement Funds</p> <p>3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="2023"/></p> <p>● 1-2 years ago (0 points) <input type="checkbox"/><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>○ 3 or more years ago (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>○ N/A</p> <p>If N/A, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>													
<p>3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR</td> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: center;">\$</td> <td style="width: 35%; text-align: right;"><input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="194,362.28"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="6,233.00"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="200,595.28"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">+</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="6,233.00"/></td> </tr> </table>	3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR	\$	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="194,362.28"/>	3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	\$	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="6,233.00"/>	3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance	\$	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="200,595.28"/>	3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="6,233.00"/>	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR	\$	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="194,362.28"/>											
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	\$	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="6,233.00"/>											
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance	\$	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="200,595.28"/>											
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="6,233.00"/>											

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) - \$ 0.00

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year \$ 206,828.28

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 206,828.28 0

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

- Yes
- No

If No, please explain.

4. Future Planning

4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?

- Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.
- No

Project #	Project Description	Estimated Cost	Approximate Construction Year
1	Replacing old leaky sanitary sewer on 3rd Street between Vilas Ave. and Prospect Ave. in the 2024 construction season.	\$250,000	2024
2	Submitted for a CDBG grant for Fairview Lane to replace aged water and sewer between S Section and Point Basse. Planning a 2026 project construction project. Project/construction will be started June 2026 with completion slated for October 2026.	\$1,500,000	2026
3	The sanitary sewer is being replaced, and a new 8" PVC pipe will be installed in 2025 on 2nd street from Patton Ave. to Prospect Ave.	\$400,000	2025
4	Our SCADA program will be updated for our system in 2025. SCADA project is completed.	\$750,000	2025

5. Financial Management General Comments

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

6. Collection System

6.1 Energy Usage

6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	181	
February	146	
March	153	
April	193	
May	155	
June	141	
July	161	
August	123	
September	139	
October	142	
November	119	
December	187	
Total	1,840	0
Average	153	0

6.1.2 Comments:

6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):

- Comminution or Screening
- Extended Shaft Pumps
- Flow Metering and Recording
- Pneumatic Pumping
- SCADA System
- Self-Priming Pumps
- Submersible Pumps
- Variable Speed Drives
- Other:

6.2.2 Comments:

6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?

- No
- Yes

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 **2025**

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

EVERY 5 YEARS WE SCHEDULE TO HAVE SUBMERSIBLE PUMPS CHECKED AND CLEANED FOR EFFICIENTCY.

7. Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	38,700	8.39	4,613	10.93	3,541	1,658
February	36,100	6.99	5,165	9.01	4,007	1,780
March	36,200	9.04	4,004	11.38	3,181	1,828
April	38,700	16.23	2,384	12.19	3,175	1,618
May	27,900	14.07	1,983	12.12	2,302	1,053
June	23,600	7.88	2,995	7.74	3,049	748
July	22,400	6.57	3,409	6.25	3,584	811
August	20,300	5.54	3,664	6.19	3,279	572
September	21,800	5.30	4,113	7.40	2,946	520
October	24,000	6.56	3,659	9.07	2,646	581
November	24,600	6.62	3,716	10.20	2,412	796
December	41,900	7.08	5,918	10.46	4,006	1,320
Total	356,200	100.27		112.94		13,285
Average	29,683	8.36	3,802	9.41	3,177	1,107

7.1.2 Comments:

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):

- Aerobic Digestion
- Anaerobic Digestion
- Biological Phosphorus Removal
- Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- Effluent Pumping
- Fine Bubble Diffusers
- Influent Pumping
- Mechanical Sludge Processing
- Nitrification
- SCADA System
- UV Disinfection
- Variable Speed Drives

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Other:

7.2.2 Comments:

7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment

7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?

WE CONTINUE TO ACCESS AND INSTALL V.F.D.'S ON OUR EQUIPMENT.

8. Biogas Generation

8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?

No

Yes

If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):

Flared Off

Building Heat

Process Heat

Generate Electricity

Other:

9. Energy Efficiency Study

9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?

No

Yes

Entire facility

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Part of the facility

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 **2025**

Total Points Generated	-
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	-
Section Grade	-

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program

1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?

- Yes
- No

If No, explain:

1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?

- Yes
- No (30 points)
- N/A

If No or N/A, explain:

1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)

Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]

Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:

COMPLETE SEWER CLEANING AND T.V. ON 20% OF OUR SYSTEM. WE ARE BEGINNING A GREASE INSPECTION PROGRAM ON OUR CITY BUSINESSES IN THE COMMUNITY. EACH BUSINESS WILL BE INSPECTED, TO DETERMINE GREASE TRAP USE. WE CONTINUE TO UPDATE OUR G.I.S. SYSTEM.

Did you accomplish them?

- Yes
- No

If No, explain:

Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]

Does this chapter of your CMOM include:

- Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
- Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
- Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public

Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]

What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?

Nekoosa Municipal Code.

If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2012-05-10

Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:

- Private property inflow and infiltration
- New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
- Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
- Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary
- Fat, oil and grease control
- Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance

Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]

Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:

- Equipment and replacement part inventories

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Up-to-date sewer system map
 A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation
 A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below)
 Capacity assessment program
 Basement back assessment and correction
 Regular O&M training
 Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]
 What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property?
 State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements
 Construction, Inspection, and Testing
 Others:

Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)] 0
 Does your emergency response capability include:
 Responsible personnel communication procedures
 Response order, timing and clean-up
 Public notification protocols
 Training
 Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures
 Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]
 Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply):
 Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis
 Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)
 Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Management Plan (SECAP)
 Lift Station Evaluation Report
 Others:

2. Operation and Maintenance

2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained.

Cleaning	20	% of system/year
Root removal	20	% of system/year
Flow monitoring	0	% of system/year
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year
Sewer line televising	20	% of system/year
Manhole inspections	20	% of system/year
Lift station O&M	2	# per L.S./year
Manhole rehabilitation	5	% of manholes rehabbed
Mainline rehabilitation	0.5	% of sewer lines rehabbed
Private sewer inspections		

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

	<input type="text" value="1"/>	% of system/year
Private sewer I/I removal	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of private services
River or water crossings	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained

Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:

3. Performance Indicators

3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.

<input type="text" value="27.04"/>	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches
<input type="text" value="31.30"/>	Annual average precipitation (for your location)
<input type="text" value="17.3"/>	Miles of sanitary sewer
<input type="text" value="2"/>	Number of lift stations
<input type="text" value="0"/>	Number of lift station failures
<input type="text" value="0"/>	Number of sewer pipe failures
<input type="text" value="4"/>	Number of basement backup occurrences
<input type="text" value="6"/>	Number of complaints
<input type="text" value=".271"/>	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)
<input type="text" value=".541"/>	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)
<input type="text" value=""/>	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)

3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:

<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Lift station failures (failures/year)
<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)
<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)
<input type="text" value="0.23"/>	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)
<input type="text" value="0.35"/>	Complaints (number/sewer mile)
<input type="text" value="2.0"/>	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)
<input type="text" value="0.0"/>	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)

4. Overflows

LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **			
Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume
None reported			

** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)

5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?

- Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

IN THE SPRING WHEN SNOW MELT RUNOFF, ALONG WITH LARGE RAINFALL EVENTS WE SEE AN INCREASE AT OUR WASTE WATER PLANT.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

- Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

WE CONTINUE TO REPAIR I&I PROBLEMS IN OUR SEWER MAINS REPORTED IN OUR ANNUAL T.V. INSPECTIONS.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

WE CONTINUE TO REPAIR I&I PROBLEMS AS THEY ARISE IN OUR ANNUAL T.V. INSPECTIONS.

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	
Section Grade	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 2025

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0020613

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent				
BOD/CBOD				
TSS				
Phosphorus				
Biosolids				
Staffing/PM				
OpCert				
Financial	-			
Collection				
TOTALS			0	0
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) =				

Notes:

- A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)
- D = Action Range (Response Required)
- F = Action Range (Response Required)


Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Nekoosa Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/28/2026 **2025**

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing Body or Owner:	<input type="text"/>
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:	<input type="text"/>
Resolution Number:	<input type="text"/>
Date of Submittal:	<input type="text"/>
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):	
Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade =	<input type="text"/>
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade =	<input type="text"/>
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade =	<input type="text"/>
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade =	<input type="text"/>
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade =	<input type="text"/>
Staffing: Grade =	<input type="text"/>
Operator Certification: Grade =	<input type="text"/>
Financial Management: Grade = -	<input type="text"/>
Collection Systems: Grade = (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)	<input type="text"/>
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) G.P.A. =	
<input type="text"/>	



May 21, 2026

City of Nekoosa
Department of Public Works

951 Market Street
Nekoosa, WI 54457
Phone 715.886.7889 Fax 715.886.7884

Bid Tabulation – Riverside Park Asphalt Project

Contractors	Bid Price
1. American Asphalt of Wisconsin	\$38,210.30
2. B + E Asphalt	\$51,288.75
3. A-1 Services	\$53,000.00



EPA Community Grants - Program Basics

Community Grants

Home <<https://epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/epa-community-grants>>

Basics

Guidance <<https://epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/epa-community-grants-implementation-guidance>>

Training <<https://epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/epa-community-grants-training>>

Funding <<https://epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/epa-community-grants-funding>>

Contacts <<https://epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/epa-community-grants-points-contacts>>

Resources <<https://epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/epa-community-grants-resources-recipients>>

Congress appropriates resources for specifically named community water infrastructure projects identified as Congressionally Directed Spending (CDS) and Community Project Funding (CPF) items (Community Grants) in Appropriations Acts. Funding is provided in the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) account for these drinking water,

WI	5	Village of Brandon for Wastewater Improvements	\$ 406,000
WI	5	City of Altoona for Water System Improvements	\$ 2,250,000
WI	5	City of Mineral Point for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$ 1,000,000
WI	5	City of Nekoosa for Water Utility Improvements	\$ 1,630,000
WI	5	Village of Vesper for Water Systems Improvement	\$ 1,100,000
WV	3	Berkeley County Westside Water Main	\$ 1,600,000
WV	3	Boone County Public Service District for Water Improvement Project	\$ 750,000
WV	3	Buffalo Creek Public Service District for Water Treatment Plant Upgrade and Expansion	\$ 1,000,000
WV	3	City of Welch for Combined Sewer Overflow Removal Project	\$ 750,000
WV	3	City of Weston for Sewer Extension and Improvement Project	\$ 1,500,000
WV	3	Huntington Four Pole Creek Pump Station	\$ 10,000,000
WV	3	North Beckley Public Service District for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$ 750,000
WV	3	Tucker County Commission for Regional Sewer System Project	\$ 1,500,000
WV	3	City of Pennsboro for Waterline Extension Project	\$ 1,000,000
WV	3	City of Pennsboro PH 3 Water System Improvement Project	\$ 2,500,000
WV	3	Clarksburg Water Board for Lead Line Replacement and Water Distribution System Improvements	\$ 2,250,000
WV	3	Harpers Ferry Water Distribution System Project	\$ 5,051,000
WV	3	Region 2 Planning and Development Council for Water Treatment Plant	\$ 750,000
WV	3	Town of West Hamlin for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$ 1,000,000

WA	10	City of Moses Lake for PFAS Remediation	\$ 2,000,000
WA	10	City of Oroville for Water System Improvement Project	\$ 1,400,000
WA	10	City of Othello for Regional Water Supply Project	\$ 1,000,000
WA	10	City of Redmond for Water Infrastructure Projects	\$ 2,500,000
WA	10	City of Republic for Water Tank Replacement Project	\$ 934,965
WA	10	City of Ridgefield for Kennedy Well Project	\$ 1,092,000
WA	10	City of Sunnyside for Water Infrastructure Projects	\$ 2,114,000
WA	10	City of Washougal for Drinking Water Well PFAS Remediation	\$ 1,300,000
WA	10	Covington Water District for Reservoir Construction Project	\$ 1,092,000
WA	10	King County Water District 54 for Water Treatment Modernization	\$ 1,092,000
WA	10	Town of Nespelem for Water System Infrastructure Improvements	\$ 1,000,000
WA	10	Town of Springdale for Storage Capacity Improvements	\$ 750,000
WA	10	Town of Winthrop for Water Source and Distribution System Improvements	\$ 1,500,000
WI	5	Bell Sanitary District for Holding Tank Treatment Facility	\$ 1,100,000
WI	5	City of Oshkosh for Wastewater Treatment	\$ 3,200,000
WI	5	City of Prairie du Chien for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$ 1,250,000
WI	5	Forest County Potawatomi Community for Wastewater Treatment	\$ 2,000,000
WI	5	Town of Calumet for Water Treatment System	\$ 420,000
WI	5	Town of Richmond for Wastewater Improvements	\$ 144,000

Opinion of Probable Cost - Amendment No. 1
 June 2, 2026
 Fairview Lane
 S. Section Street to Point Basse Avenue
 City of Nekoosa

Bid Item Ref. No.	Description	Unit of Measure	Estimated Quantity	Unit Price	Item Total
Sanitary Sewer					
61	Sanitary Sewer - 10" PVC SDR 35	LF	1600	\$68.80	\$ 110,080.00
62	Sanitary Sewer - 48" Dia. Manhole	EA	4	\$7,120.00	\$ 28,480.00
63	Select Granular Backfill (Trucked-In)	CY	200	\$15.80	\$ 3,160.00
Sanitary Sewer Amendment No. 1					\$ 141,720.00

Storm Sewer					
42A	Storm Sewer - 4" Perforated HDPE - ADD ON	LF	200	\$ 25.00	\$ 5,000.00
Storm Sewer Amendment No. 1					\$ 5,000.00

Total - Construction Amendment No. 1					\$ 146,720.00
Contingency (4%)					\$ 5,868.80
Professional Services					\$ 8,000.00
Total - Amendment No. 1					\$ 160,588.80

This Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost is made on the basis of our experience and qualifications. It represents our best judgment as experienced and qualified design professionals based on our information available at the time the cost opinion is made. It should be recognized that Vierbicher Associates, Inc. does not have control over the cost of materials or services furnished by others, over market conditions, or contractors methods of determining their prices. Accordingly, Vierbicher Associates, Inc. cannot and does not guarantee that bids or actual costs will not vary from this opinion.

R:\Nekoosa, City of\240136 - Fairview Lane\Project Funding\CDBG\1_2025 Application\Project Cost\OPC\2026-06-02_Bid Items OPC - Change Order no 1 - Sanitary Add.xlsx|Bid Items (2)

SECTION 00 94 10

CHANGE ORDER NO. 1

Project: Fairview Lane Street & Utility Reconstruction
 Project No.: 240136

To: City of Nekoosa
 951 Market Street
 Nekoosa, WI 54457
 Contract Date: 3/10/2026
 Contract Completion Date:

The Contract for the above Project shall be changed as follows:

Bid Item	Description	Units	Estimated Quantity	Unit Price	Item Total
61	Sanitary Sewer - 10" PVC SDR 35	LF	1600	\$68.80	\$110,080.00
62	Sanitary Sewer - 48" Dia. Manhole	EA	4	\$7,120.00	\$28,480.00
63	Select Granular Backfill (Trucked-In)	CY	200	\$15.80	\$3,160.00
42A	Storm Sewer - 4" Perforated HDPE - ADD ON	LF	200	\$25.00	\$5,000.00

Total = \$146,720.00

These changes result in the following adjustment of Contract Price and Contract Time:

Original Contract Price	\$ 1,018,321.50
Contract Price with Previously Approved Change Orders	\$ 1,018,321.50
Net Change in Contract Price Due to This Change Order	\$ <u>146,720.00</u>
Current Contract Price Including This Change Order	\$ 1,165,041.50

NOTE: The above changes do not reflect changes in the Contract Price due to measured quantities for items constructed in conformance with the Contract Documents.

CHANGE ORDER IS VALID ONLY IF SIGNED BY THE ENGINEER, CONTRACTOR, AND OWNER

Vierbicher Associates, Inc.
ENGINEER

Precision Grading & Utilities
CONTRACTOR

City of Nekoosa
OWNER

252 Junction Rd, Ste 7000
Address

PO Box 79
Address

951 Market Street
Address

Madison, WI 53717

Port Edwards, WI 54469

Nekoosa, WI 54457

Signature

Signature

Signature

Date

Date

Date